

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STARES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stares Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1850. Alexadina, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,522	10/10/2001	Christopher Peiffer	1014-141US02	9120
7	590 01/13/2006		EXAM	INER
Kent J. Sieffert		ZHONG, CHAD		
Shumaker & Sieffert, P.A.				
Suite 105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8425 Seasons Parkway		2152		
St. Paul, MN	55125		DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·				
Office Action Summary	09/975,522	PEIFFER ET AL.		
omoc Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	Chad Zhong	2152		
Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sneet (	with the correspondence address –		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 15 November 2005			
	☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal ma	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-18 and 20-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-18 and 20-25 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **OFFICE ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/15/2005 has been entered. Claims 1-8, 10-18, and 20-25 are presented for examination. In amendment B, filed on 11/15/2005, claims 1-6, 10-12, 17-18, 21-22, and 24 are currently amended; claims 7-8, 13-16, 20, 23, and 25 are previously presented.

#### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2152

Claims 1-25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-26 of copending Application No. 09-882375. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

# 09-975522 09-882375 **Instant Application Co-pending Application** 1. a computer networking device for use 1. a computer networking device for use on a computer network connecting a client and a on a computer network connecting a plurality of clients with a server the clients and server system server, the client and server client being being configured to communicate using configured to communicate using Hypertext Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP), the Transfer Protocol (HTTP), the computer computer networking device comprising: networking device comprising, an HTTP an HTTP multiplexor / demultiplexor configured multiplexor/demultiplexor configured to to receive HTTP requests from the plurality of receive HTTP requests from the client and to the clients via a plurality of client TCP distribute those requests over a plurality of connections and to monitor a plurality of server TCP connections to a plurality of TCP connections to the server, wherein the corresponding sockets on the server. HTTP multiplexor / demultiplexor includes a plurality of agents, each agent assigned

Art Unit: 2152

to a different one of the client TCP connections,
and wherein upon receiving an HTTP request
from the client, the respective agent selects one
of the plurality of server TCP connections based
on the monitoring of the server TCP connections
and routes the HTTP request to the selected
server TCP connection for communication to the
server over a corresponding connection on the
server as a multiplexed HTTP request.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations in claim 1 of the instant application, the plurality of TCP connections anticipates an individual TCP connection to a server socket. As for plurality of clients connecting with a server system, Susai et al. (hereinafter Susai), US 2002/0059428 discloses a plurality of clients connecting with a singular server farm for the advantages of data access and efficiency through load balancing. Thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Copending application and Susai.

09-975522 Instant Application	09-882375 Co-pending Application
2. The computer networking device of claim 1,	2. The computer networking device of claim 1,
wherein the multiplexor/demultiplexor is further	wherein the multiplexor/demultiplexor is
configured to receive HTTP responses from the	further configured to receive HTTP responses
server over the individual server TCP connection	from the server over a plurality of TCP
and to route those responses to the clients via a	connections and to route those responses to the

Art Unit: 2152

plurality of client TCP connections.	client via a single TCP connection.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 2 of the instant application, as for plurality of clients connecting with a server system, Susai discloses a plurality of clients connecting with a singular server farm for the advantages of data access and efficiency through load balancing, and the corresponding results are routed back to the appropriate originating client, see for example, [0034-0035]. Thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Co-pending application and Susai.

09-975522	09-882375
Instant Application	Co-pending Application
3. A computer networking method for processing HTTP requests, comprising: monitoring a plurality of sockets connections from a computer networking device to a server to determine a response parameter for each of the server TCP	3. A computer networking method for processing HTTP requests, comprising: receiving a series of HTTP requests from an originating client; and routing the series of requests to a plurality of sockets on a server via
connections; receiving HTTP requests from a	a plurality of TCP connections.
plurality of originating clients; selecting one of the server TCP connections based on the determined response parameter; routing the HTTP requests to an individual socket on the server via a multiplexed TCP transmission using the selected server TCP connection.	

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 3 of the instant application, as for plurality of clients connecting with a server system, Susai discloses a plurality of clients connecting with a singular

Art Unit: 2152

server farm for the advantages of data access and efficiency through load balancing, and the corresponding results are routed back to the appropriate originating client. Thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Co-pending application and Susai.

09-882375 Co-pending Application
4. The method of claim 3, wherein the requests
are routed based on a parameter selected from
the group consisting of least-lengthy response
time, last accessed socket, fewest number of
unfulfilled requests, type of requested data, and
size of requested data.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 4 of the instant application.

09-975522	09-882375
Instant Application	Co-pending Application
5. The method of claim 3, further comprising:	5. The method of claim 3, further comprising:
receiving HTTP responses from the server via	receiving HTTP responses over a plurality of
the individual server TCP connection; and	connections from the server; and routing the
selectively routing the HTTP responses to the	responses to the originating client.
plurality of originating clients.	

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 5 of the instant application, the plurality of TCP connections anticipates an individual TCP connection to a server socket. As for plurality of clients connecting with a server system, Susai discloses a plurality of clients connecting with a singular server farm for the advantages of data access and efficiency through load balancing, and the corresponding

Art Unit: 2152

results are routed back to the appropriate originating client. Thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Co-pending application and Susai.

# 09-975522 09-882375 **Instant Application** Co-pending Application 6. A computer networking method for data 6. A computer networking method for data transfer between plural originating clients, a transfer between an originating client, a server, server, and a networking device positioned on a and a networking device positioned intermediate the client and the server on a computer network intermediate the clients and the server, the method comprising: at the computer network, the method comprising: at networking device, monitoring a plurality of the networking device, listening for a series of server TCP connections from a computer HTTP requests from the originating client; networking device to a server to determine a receiving the series of HTTP requests from the response parameter for each of the server TCP originating client; demultiplexing the series of HTTP requests into discrete HTTP requests; connections; listening for HTTP requests from the originating clients; receiving HTTP requests and sending each discrete HTTP request to an from more than one of the originating clients; optimal server socket. selecting one of the server TCP connections based on the determined response parameter; multiplexing the received requests for delivery to the server via the selected server TCP connection; and sending the received requests via the selected server TCP connection to an optimal server socket selected based on the determined

response parameter.	

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 6 of the instant application, the plurality of TCP connections anticipates an individual TCP connection to a server socket. As for plurality of clients connecting with a server system, Susai discloses a plurality of clients connecting with a singular server farm for the advantages of data access and efficiency through load balancing, and the corresponding results are routed back to the appropriate originating client. Multiplexing is realized in Sridhar et al. US 6,266,701, hereinafter (Sridhar), wherein the plurality of requests are multiplexed together to reduce overhead thereby reducing latency (see for example, Col. 5, lines 15-20). Thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Co-pending application, Susai, and Sridhar.

09-975522 Instant Application	09-882375 Co-pending Application
7. The method of claim 6, wherein receiving	7. The method of claim 6, wherein receiving
HTTP requests from the originating clients	and sending occur via TCP connections.
occurs via client TCP connections.	

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 7 of the instant application.

09-975522 Instant Application	09-882375 Co-pending Application
8. The method of claim 7, wherein the client and	8. The method of claim 7, wherein the TCP
server TCP connections are persistent.	connections are persistent.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 8 of the instant application.

09-975522	09-882375
Instant Application	Co-pending Application

Art Unit: 2152

10. The method of claim 9, wherein the response	10. The method of claim 9, wherein
parameter comprises a least-lengthy response	determining an optimal server socket includes
time.	determining a server socket with a least-
	lengthy response time.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 10 of the instant application.

09-882375 Co-pending Application
11. The method of claim 9, wherein
determining an optimal server socket includes
determining a last-accessed server socket.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 11 of the instant application.

09-975522 Instant Application	09-882375 Co-pending Application
Instant Application	Co-pending Application
12. The method of claim 9, wherein the response	12. The method of claim 9, wherein
parameter comprises the fewest number of	determining an optimal server socket includes
unfulfilled requests.	determining a server socket with the fewest
	number of unfulfilled requests.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 12 of the instant application.

09-975522 Instant Application	09-882375 Co-pending Application
13. The method of claim 6, further comprising	13. The method of claim 6, further comprising,
listening for HTTP responses from the optimal	listening for HTTP responses from a plurality

Page 10

Art Unit: 2152

server socket.	of server sockets.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 13 of the instant application, wherein the optimal server socket is one of a plurality of server sockets.

09-975522 Instant Application	09-882375 Co-pending Application
14. the method of claim 13, further comprising	14. The method of claim 13, further
receiving HTTP responses from the optimal	comprising, receiving the HTTP responses
server socket.	from the plurality of server sockets.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 14 of the instant application, wherein the optimal server socket is one of a plurality of server sockets.

09-975522 Instant Application	09-882375 Co-pending Application
15. The method of claim 14, further comprising	15. The method of claim 14, further
demultiplexing the received HTTP responses to	comprising, multiplexing the HTTP responses
permit selective routing and transmission of the	from the plurality of server sockets into a series
received responses to corresponding originating	of HTTP responses.
clients.	

demultiplexing is realized in Sridhar wherein appropriate response is routed to the corresponding clients (see for example, Col. 6, lines 5-15 for the advantage of proper routing). Thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Co-pending application and Sridhar.

09-975522	09-882375
Instant Application	Co-pending Application

Art Unit: 2152

16. The method of claim 15, further comprising sending the HTTP responses to the corresponding originating clients.

16. The method of claim 15, further comprising, sending the series of HTTP responses to the originating client.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 16 of the instant application.

### 09-975522 Instant Application

# 17. A computer networking method for data transfer between plural originating clients, a server and an intermediate networking device, wherein the originating clients and the server are configured to communicate over a computer network via the intermediate networking device, the method comprising: at the intermediate networking device, monitoring a plurality of server TCP connections from the intermediate networking device to the server to determine a response parameter for each of the server TCP connections; listening for HTTP requests from the originating clients; receiving HTTP requests from more than one of the originating clients; multiplexing the received requests; determining an optimal server socket based on the determined response parameter; sending the received

## 09-882375 Co-pending Application

17. A computer networking method for data transfer between an originating client, a server, and an intermediate networking device, wherein the originating client and the server are configured to communicate over a computer network via the intermediate networking device, the method comprising: at the intermediate networking device, listening for a series of HTTP requests from the originating client; receiving the series of HTTP requests from the originating client; demultiplexing the series of HTTP requests into discrete HTTP requests; determining an optimal server socket for each discrete HTTP request; sending each discrete HTTP request to the optimal server socket for the request; listening for HTTP responses from a plurality

Art Unit: 2152

requests as a multiplexed transmission to the of server sockets; receiving the HTTP optimal server socket via an individual one of the responses from the plurality of server sockets; multiplexing the HTTP responses from the server TCP connections; listening for HTTP responses from the server; receiving HTTP plurality of server sockets into a series of HTTP responses; and sending the series of responses from the server; demultiplexing the HTTP responses received from the server to HTTP responses to the originating client. permit selective routing and transmission to corresponding originating clients; and sending the received HTTP responses to the corresponding originating clients.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 17 of the instant application, the plurality of TCP connections anticipates an individual TCP connection to a server socket. As for plurality of clients connecting with a server system, Susai discloses a plurality of clients connecting with a singular server farm for the advantages of data access and efficiency through load balancing, and the corresponding results are routed back to the appropriate originating client. Multiplexing and demultiplexing is realized in Sridhar, wherein the plurality of requests are multiplexed together to reduce overhead thereby reducing latency (see for example, Col. 5, lines 15-20), similarly the demultiplexing is realized as server send responses to corresponding clients. Thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Co-pending application, Susai, and Sridhar.

09-975522	09-882375
Instant Application	Co-pending Application
18. A computer networking device for use on a	18. A computer networking device for use on a
computer network to improve data transfer, the	computer network to improve data transfer,

**Art Unit: 2152** 

computer networking device being positioned intermediate plural clients and a server, the clients and server being configured to communicate via the computer network using HTTP communication protocol, the computer networking device comprising: an HTTP multiplexor/demultiplexor configured to receive HTTP requests from the clients via a plurality of client TCP connections and to monitor a plurality of server TCP connections to the server, wherein the HTTP multiplexor/demultiplexor includes a plurality of agents, each agent assigned to a different one of the client TCP connections, and wherein upon receiving an HTTP request from the client, the respective agent selects one of the plurality of server TCP connections based on the monitoring of the server TCP connections and routes the HTTP request to the selected server TCP connection for communication to the server, the computer networking device being further configured to receive HTTP responses from the server and route the received HTTP responses to a corresponding one of the clients.

positioned intermediate a client and a server, the client and server being configured to communicate via the computer network using HTTP communication protocol, the computer networking device comprising, an HTTP multiplexor/demultiplexor configured to receive HTTP requests from the client and to send the HTTP requests to a plurality of sockets on the server, and further configured to receive HTTP responses from the plurality of sockets on the server and to send the HTTP responses to the client.

Art Unit: 2152

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 18 of the instant application, the plurality of TCP connections anticipates an individual TCP connection to a server socket. As for plurality of clients connecting with a server system, Susai discloses a plurality of clients connecting with a singular server farm for the advantages of data access and efficiency through load balancing, and the corresponding results are routed back to the appropriate originating client. Multiplexing is realized in Sridhar, wherein the plurality of requests are multiplexed together to reduce overhead thereby reducing latency (see for example, Col. 5, lines 15-20), thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Co-pending application, Susai, and Sridhar.

09-975522 Instant Application	09-882375 Co-pending Application
20. The device of claim 19, wherein the server	20. The device of claim 19, wherein the TCP
TCP connections are persistent.	connections are persistent.

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 20 of the instant application.

09-975522 Instant Application	09-882375 Co-pending Application
21. The device of claim 18, wherein the HTTP	21. The device of claim 18, wherein the HTTP
multiplexor/demultiplexor is further configured	multiplexor/demultiplexor is further configured
to determine an optimal server socket for	to determine an optimal server socket for each
receiving the HTTP requests by identifying the	HTTP request.
server TCP connection having the least-lengthy	
response time based on the monitoring.	

Co-pending application anticipates all limitations of claim 21 of the instant application.

Art Unit: 2152

# 09-975522 Instant Application

22. A computer networking system for use with a computer network, the system comprising: a server; plural clients configured to connect to the server system via the computer network; and a computer networking device positioned intermediate the server system and the clients on the computer network; wherein the computer networking device is configured to monitor a plurality of server TCP connections from the computer networking device to the server, and wherein the computer network device comprises includes a plurality of agents, each agent assigned to a different one of a plurality of client TCP connections from the computing networking device to the clients, and wherein the agents receive HTTP requests from the clients and to distribute those requests via multiplexed transmission over the server TCP connections to a server socket on the server system selected based on response parameters determined by

monitoring the server TCP connections.

### 09-882375 Co-pending Application

23. A computer networking system for use with a computer network, the system comprising: a server; a client configured to connect to the server via the computer network; and a computer networking device positioned intermediate the server and the client on the computer network; wherein the computer networking device is configured to receive HTTP requests from the client and to distribute those requests over a plurality of TCP connections to a plurality of corresponding sockets on the server.

Co-pending application claim 23 anticipates all limitations of claim 22 of the instant application, the plurality of TCP connections anticipates an individual TCP connection to a server socket. As for plurality

Art Unit: 2152

of clients connecting with a server system, Susai discloses a plurality of clients connecting with a singular server farm for the advantages of data access and efficiency through load balancing, and the corresponding results are routed back to the appropriate originating client. Multiplexing is realized in Sridhar, wherein the plurality of requests are multiplexed together to reduce overhead thereby reducing latency (see for example, Col. 5, lines 15-20), thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Co-pending application, Susai, and Sridhar.

Page 16

09-975522	09-882375
Instant Application	Co-pending Application
23. The computer networking system of claim	24. The computer networking system of claim
22, wherein the computer networking device is	23, wherein the computer networking device is
further configured to receive HTTP responses	further configured to receive HTTP responses
from the server system, demultiplex the	from the server over a plurality of TCP
responses, and route the demultiplexed responses	connections and to route those responses to the
to corresponding clients via a plurality of client	client via a single TCP connection.
TCP connections.	
	1

Co-pending application claim 24 anticipates all limitations of claim 23 of the instant application, the plurality of TCP connections anticipates an individual TCP connection to a server socket. As for plurality of clients connecting with a server system, Susai discloses a plurality of clients connecting with a singular server farm for the advantages of data access and efficiency through load balancing, and the corresponding results are routed back to the appropriate originating client. Demultiplexing is realized as server send responses to corresponding clients. Thus it would have been obvious to implement the instant application in view of Co-pending application, Susai, and Sridhar.

09-975522	09-882375

Art Unit: 2152

Instant Application	Co-pending Application	
24. A computer networking device for improving	25. A computer networking device for	
data transfer via a computer network, the device	improving data transfer via a computer	
being configured to monitor a plurality of	network, the device being configured to receive	
persistent server socket connections from a	HTTP requests from a client, to determine an	
computer networking device to a server to	optimal server socket for each HTTP requests,	
determine a response parameter for each of the	and to send each HTTP request to the	
server TCP connections, receive HTTP requests	determined optimal server socket for the	
from a client, determine an optimal one of the	request.	
server sockets for each HTTP request based on		
the respective response parameters for each of		
the server sockets, and to send each HTTP		
request to the determined optimal server socket		
for the request via a multiplexed TCP		
transmission.		

Co-pending application claim 25 anticipates all limitations of claim 24 of the instant application.

09-975522 Instant Application		09-882375 Co-pending Application
Ziistant 12ppi.		Co penaing rippireum
25. The device of claim 24, wherein the device is		26. The device of claim 25, wherein the device
further configured to receive	ceive an HTTP response is further configured to receive an HTTP	
rom the optimal server sock	he optimal server socket and to send the response from the optimal server socket and to	
HTTP response to the client.		send the HTTP response to the client.
25. The device of claim 24, vulnerated to receive from the optimal server sockers	erein the device is	26. The device of claim 25, wherein the device is further configured to receive an HTTP response from the optimal server socket and to

Co-pending application claim 26 anticipates all limitations of claim 25 of the instant application.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 10-12 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: the proper dependency of the above claim set is unclear after cancellation of claims 9 and 19. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11-18, 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Susai et al. (hereinafter Susai), US 2002/0059428, in view of Sridhar et al. (hereinafter Sridhar), US 6,266,701, further in view of Savitzky et al. (hereinafter Savitzky), US 6,012,083.
- 6. As per claim 1, Susai teaches a computer networking device (Susai, Fig 2, clients C1-C3) for use on a computer network connecting a plurality of clients with a server (Susai, Fig 2, Server S1, S2, S3; [0069], where the servers creates a logical entity 'server farm', which provides at least the functionally equivalent processing capabilities as a single server, for the purpose of examination, the examiner will interpret 'server farm' as a single logical server), the clients and server system being configured to communicate using Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) (Susai, [0038]), the computer networking device comprising:

an HTTP multiplexor/demultiplexor configured to receive HTTP requests from the plurality of the clients via a plurality of client TCP connections and to monitor a plurality of server TCP connections to the server (Susai, Fig 2, Interface Unit 202; pg 3, [0045], [0046], wherein there are at least two clients

Art Unit: 2152

communicating with the same server S; [0069], [0093-0096], where the Interface Unit monitors the server load and route the client request based upon the load of the server, i.e. policies),

wherein upon receiving an HTTP request from the client, the respective agent selects one of the plurality of server TCP connections based on the monitoring of the server TCP connections and routes the HTTP request to the selected server TCP connection for communication to the server over a corresponding connection on the server as a multiplexed HTTP request ([0069], [0093-0096], where the Interface Unit monitors the server load and route the client request based upon the load of the server, i.e. policies).

However, Susai does not explicitly say socket on the server system.

In a similar system, Sridhar teaches distribute those requests over an individual server TCP connection to a corresponding socket on the server (Sridhar, Col. 6, lines 3-15; Col. 23, lines 45-55, for the advantages in reducing overhead and reducing latency, see for example, Col. 5, lines 15-20.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Sridhar with Susai because the combination would improve the latency for Susai's system by multiplexing streams together to reduce overhead (Sridhar, Col. 5, lines 15-20). Note, it is implicitly implied by the reference that a single stream takes up less bandwidth than a multiplexed stream going from server to the respective client.

Susai does not explicitly teach the HTTP multiplexor/demultiplexor includes a plurality of agents, each agent assigned to a different one of the client TCP connections

However, Savitzky teaches the HTTP multiplexor/demultiplexor includes a plurality of agents, each agent assigned to a different one of the client TCP connections (In light of applicant's specification, agents are 'entities' that exist in multiplexor/demultiplexor that are routing the information to and from the server side to the client side, see applicant's specification, pg 12, line 20 – pg 13, line 11. Savitzky teaches the agent aspect because the agency 10 with plurality of agents is able to handle multiple requests

from multiple client, see Savitzky, Col. 1, lines 10-20; Col. 3, lines 34-36, lines 54-59; Col. 5, lines 52-55; Col. 6, lines 5-10; Col. 8, lines 45-50; Col. 10, lines 23-26, lines 40-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Savitzky with Susai because the combination would improve the latency for Susai's system by reducing the processing loads on the server and the client side with an independent agency system (Savitzky, Col. 3, lines 1-7).

- 7. As per claim 2, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 1 above, including the multiplexor/demultiplexor is further configured to receive multiplexed HTTP responses from the server over the individual server TCP connection and to route those responses to the clients via a plurality of client TCP connections (Sridhar, Col. 6, lines 5-15, for the advantages giving appropriate responses to the correct client; Col. 22, lines 15-20).
- 8. As per claim 3, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 1 above, including a computer networking method for processing HTTP requests, comprising:

monitoring a plurality of connections from a computer networking device to a server to determine a response parameter for each of the server TCP connections (Susai, [0069], [0093-0096], where the Interface Unit monitors the server load and route the client request based upon the load of the server, i.e. policies, load of the server is an example of the response parameter monitored by the Interface Unit 202);

receiving HTTP requests from a plurality of originating clients (Susai, Fig 2; pg 3, [0045-0046]); selecting one of the server TCP connections based on the determined response parameter (Susai, [0069], [0093-0096], where the Interface Unit monitors the server load and route the client request based upon the load of the server, i.e. policies, load of the server is an example of the response parameter monitored by the Interface Unit 202); and

routing the HTTP requests to an individual socket on a server system via a multiplexed TCP

transmission using the selected server TCP connection (Sridhar, Col. 6, lines 3-15; Col. 23, lines 45-55 for the advantages in reducing overhead and reducing latency, see for example, Col. 5, lines 15-20).

9. As per claim 5, Susai – Sridhar – Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 3 above, including:

receiving HTTP responses from the server via the individual server TCP connection (Susai, Fig 2; pg 3, [0038], [0045])

selectively routing the HTTP responses to the plurality of originating clients (Sridhar, Col. 6, lines 5-15; Col. 15, lines 1-13; Col. 16, lines 4-5, wherein the demultiplexing entails the appropriate routing to the corresponding client destinations for the advantages of latency).

10. As per claim 6, Susai – Sridhar – Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 1 above, including a computer networking method for data transfer between plural originating clients, a server system, and a networking device positioned on a computer network intermediate the clients and the server system, the method comprising:

at the networking device,

monitoring a plurality of server TCP connections from a computer networking device to a server to determine a response parameter for each of the server TCP connections (Susai, [0069], [0093-0096], where the Interface Unit monitors the server load and route the client request based upon the load of the server, i.e. policies, load of the server is an example of the response parameter monitored by the Interface Unit 202);

listening for HTTP requests from the originating clients (Susai, Fig 2, wherein the servers are listening to client requests; pg 3, [0038]);

receiving HTTP requests from more than one of the originating clients (Susai, Fig 2, wherein the servers are listening to client requests; pg 3, [0038]);

selecting one of the server TCP connections based on the determined response parameter (Susai, [0069], [0093-0096], where the Interface Unit monitors the server load and route the client request based upon the load of the server, i.e. policies, load of the server is an example of the response parameter monitored by the Interface Unit 202);

multiplexing the received requests for delivery to the server via the selected server TCP connection (Susai, [0042-0043]); and

sending received requests via the selected server TCP connection to an optimal server socket selected based on the determined response parameter (Sridhar, see for example, Col. 6, lines 3-15, for the advantages in reducing overhead and reducing latency (Col. 15, lines 1-15; Col. 20, lines 15-30; Col. 23, lines 1-10; wherein the determination of optimized socket occurs for example, by previously accessed socket is re-accessed, or based on utilization of remote server(s), wherein the utilization is determined when no response is obtained from said server(s)).

- 11. As per claim 7, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 6 above, including receiving HTTP requests from the originating clients occurs via client TCP connections (Susai, pg 3, [0038]).
- 12. As per claim 11, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 6 above, including the response parameter comprises a last-accessed server socket (Sridhar, see for example, Col. 20, lines 15-30, wherein the last accessed connection can be used in order to save resources).
- 13. As per claim 12, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 6 above, including the response parameter comprises fewest number of unfulfilled requests (Sridhar, Col. 23, lines 5-10, wherein response determines if the server is busy or the utilization of the server, thus optimal server is selected and routing further proceeds based upon this limitation).

- 14. As per claim 13, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 6 above, including listening for multiplexed HTTP responses from the optimal server socket (Sridhar, Col. 15, lines 45-50, lines 65-67; Col. 20, lines 16-30).
- 15. As per claim 14, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 13 above, including receiving HTTP responses from the optimal server socket (Sridhar, Col. 16, lines 1-2; Col. 20, lines 15-40).
- 16. As per claim 15, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 14 above, including demultiplexing the received HTTP responses to permit selective routing and transmission of the received responses to corresponding originating clients (Sridhar, Col. 6, lines 5-15, for the advantages giving appropriate responses to the correct client).
- 17. As per claim 16, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 15above, including sending the HTTP responses to the corresponding originating clients (Susai, pg 3, [0038], [0042], [0045-0046]).
- 18. As per claim 17, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 1 above, including a computer networking method for data transfer between plural originating clients, a server system and an intermediate networking device, wherein the originating clients and the server system are configured to communicate over a computer network via the intermediate networking device, the method comprising:

at the intermediate networking device, listening for HTTP requests from the originating clients (Susai, Fig 2, pg 3, [0038]; [0042-0043]);

the remainder of claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claims 1, 3, 6, 16 above.

Art Unit: 2152

19. As per claim 18, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 1 above, in addition, Susai teaches the computer networking device being further configured to receive HTTP responses from the server and route the received HTTP responses to a corresponding one of the clients (Susai, [0042-0043]).

Page 24

20. As per claim 22, Susai – Sridhar – Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim 1 above, including a computer networking system for use with a computer network, the system comprising:

a server system (Susai, Fig 2, S1-S3);

plural clients configured to connect to the server system via the computer network (Susai, Fig 2, C1-C3); and

a computer networking device positioned intermediate the server system and the clients on the computer network (Susai, Fig 2, item 202);

the remainder of claim 22 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 1 above.

- 21. As per claim 23, claim 23 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 2 above.
- 22. As per claim 24, claim 24 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 6 above.
- 23. As per claim 25, claim 25 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 6 and 14 above.
- 24. Claims 4, 10, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Susai Sridhar Savitzky, as applied to claims 1, 6 and 18 above, further in view of Bommareddy et al. (hereinafter Bommareddy), US 6,779,039.
- 25. As per claim 4, Susai Sridhar Savitzky disclose the invention substantially as rejected in claim

*)* 

1 above, including the requests are routed based on a parameter selected from the group consisting of lastaccessed socket, type of requested data, and size of requested data (Susai, see for example, pg 3, [0041], wherein NAT covers last accessed port, type of data and size of data).

fewest number of unfulfilled requests (Sridhar, see for example, Col. 20, lines 15-30, Col. 23, lines 5-10)

However, Susai – Sridhar – Savitzky do not explicitly teach least-lengthy response time.

In a similar system, Bommareddy teaches least-lengthy response time (Bommareddy, Col. 17, lines 40-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Bommareddy with Susai – Sridhar – Savitzky, because the combination would provide for additional routing functionality for Susai – Sridhar – Savitzky's system by improving the monitoring and load balancing abilities of the network (Bommareddy, Col. 17, lines 55-65).

- 26. As per claims 10 and 21, the claims are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 4 above.
- 27. Claims 8 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Susai Sridhar Savitzky, as applied to claims 6 and 18 above, in view of RFC 2616, Fielding et al. (hereinafter Fielding), 1999.
- 28. As per claims 8 and 20, Susai Sridhar Savitzky do not explicitly say wherein the client and server TCP connections are persistent.

In a similar system, Fielding teaches the TCP connection can be persistent oriented in order to reduce network congestion by reducing the number of packets caused by TCP opens, and by allowing TCP sufficient time to determine the congestion state of the network (Fielding, 8 Connections, "Persistent HTTP connections have a number of advantages:").

Application/Control Number: 09/975,522 Page 26

Art Unit: 2152

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Fielding with Susai – Sridhar – Savitzky because the combination would enhance the capabilities of Susai – Sridhar – Savitzky to allow for reduced network congestion.

#### Response to Arguments

- 29. Applicant's remarks filed 11/15/2005 have been considered but are found not persuasive.
- 30. In the remark, the applicant argued in substance:
- a) Susai Sridhar do not teach an HTTP multiplexor/demultiplexor configured to monitor a plurality of server TCP connections to an individual server.
- b) Susai Sridhar do not teach an HTTP multiplexor/demultiplexor that includes a plurality of agents, each agent assigned to a different one of the client TCP connections, wherein upon receiving an HTTP request from the client, the respective agent selects one of the plurality server TCP connections based the monitoring of the server TCP connections and routes the http request to the selected server TCP connection for communication to the server.
- c) Susai Sridhar do not teach monitoring individual sockets to determine a response parameter for each socket, and further selecting one of the server TCP connections based on the determined response parameter.

In response to Applicant's arguments:

a) Susai teaches a computer networking device (Susai, Fig 2, clients C1-C3) for use on a computer network connecting a plurality of clients with a server (Susai, Fig 2, Server S1, S2, S3; [0069], where the servers creates a logical entity 'server farm', which provides at least the functionally equivalent processing capabilities as a single server, for the purpose of examination, the examiner will

Art Unit: 2152

interpret 'server farm' as a single logical server), the clients and server system being configured to communicate using Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) (Susai, [0038])

b) In light of applicant's specification, agents are 'entities' that exist in multiplexor / demultiplexor that are routing the information to and from the server side to the client side, see applicant's specification, pg 12, line 20 – pg 13, line 11. Savitzky teaches the agent aspect because the agency 10 with plurality of agents is able to handle multiple requests from multiple client, see Savitzky, Col. 1, lines 10-20; Col. 3, lines 34-36, lines 54-59; Col. 5, lines 52-55; Col. 6, lines 5-10; Col. 8, lines 45-50; Col. 10, lines 23-26, lines 40-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Savitzky with Susai because the combination would improve the latency for Susai's system by reducing the processing loads on the server and the client side with an independent agency system (Savitzky, Col. 3, lines 1-7).

c) Susai – Sridhar disclose monitoring a plurality of connections from a computer networking device to a server to determine a response parameter for each of the server TCP connections (Susai, [0069], [0093-0096], where the Interface Unit monitors the server load and route the client request based upon the load of the server, i.e. policies, load of the server is an example of the response parameter monitored by the Interface Unit 202);

selecting one of the server TCP connections based on the determined response parameter (Susai, [0069], [0093-0096], where the Interface Unit monitors the server load and route the client request based upon the load of the server, i.e. policies, load of the server is an example of the response parameter monitored by the Interface Unit 202); and

Page 28

Art Unit: 2152

31. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents and publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to "HTTP

MULTIPLEXOR/DEMULTIPLEXOR".

i. US 6779017

Lamberton et al.

ii. US 6266707

Boden et al.

iii. US 6754621

Cunningham et al.

iv. US 5826261

Spencer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chad Zhong whose telephone number is (571)272-3946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

JAROENCHONWANIT, BUNJOB can be reached on (571)272-3913. The fax phone number for the
organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Z}$ 

January 5, 2006

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER